

.

From: Piro, Peter (DPH)
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2011 2:01 PM
To: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Subject: RE: GC/MS Training

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Let me know when we can get together to discuss this matter.

From: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2011 1:38 PM
To: Piro, Peter (DPH); Salemi, Charles (DPH)
Subject: RE: GC/MS Training

Excellent. Please document that process as well. We will need to discuss a technical review process that we can implement for the single analyst model.
Julie

From: Piro, Peter (DPH)
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2011 1:36 PM
To: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Subject: RE: GC/MS Training

Hi Julie

I'll be continuing to review Lisa's work for maybe another week and then I'll start with Stacy. Nichole already took her exam but I would like to watch her set up runs for about a month before setting her free. I usually let the trainee set up runs for me for a few weeks (filling out copies of the paperwork) and then I let them set up their own runs and carry the analysis through while I review for about 2-3 weeks. The exact amount of time depends on the chemist, their comfort level, and how I feel their progressing ie, if still making errors I continue to watch.

Peter

From: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2011 12:37 PM
To: Piro, Peter (DPH)
Cc: Salemi, Charles (DPH)
Subject: GC/MS Training

Hi Peter,

I reviewed and signed off on Lisa's training documents. It looks like a very comprehensive training program – good job. I would however start introducing the concept of a single analyst even though we are not quite there yet, i.e., if there the spectra doesn't agree w/ preliminary testing, what would you (or the primary analyst) do?
Julie

Who's up next?

Julianne Nassif
Director of Analytical Chemistry
William A. Hinton State Laboratory
Massachusetts Department of Public Health

305 South Street Boston, MA 02130
617.983.6651 (voice) 617.983.6662 (fax)